



EXECUTIVE DEPT.

State of New Jersey

IRWIN I. KIMMELMAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

THOMAS W. GREELISH FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF LAW

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION SECTION
RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX
CN. 112

TRENTON 08625

TELEPHONE 609-292-1548

MICHAEL R. COLE
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
DIRECTOR

LAWRENCE E. STANLEY
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
SECTION CHIEF

JOHN M. VAN DALEN
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
ASSISTANT SECTION CHIEF

August 3, 1983

Edward J. Egan, Esq. 1703 E. Second Street Scotch Plains, N.J. 07076

Presto & Barbire, Esqs. 18 Glen Road Rutherford, N.J. 07070

Harriet Sims Harvey, Esq. 71 Spring Lane Englewood, N.J. 07631

Mr. Herbert G. Case 571 Mountain View Terrace Dunnellen, N.J. 08812

Mr. Leif R. Sigmond 215 Comanche Drive Ocean Port, N.J. 07757

Re: State of New Jersey, DEP v. Scientific Chemical Processing Docket No. C 1852-83E

Dear Sir and Madam:

Please be advised that Judge Stanton's office has advised me that the case management conference scheduled for August 11, 1983 has been cancelled.

Very truly yours,

IRWIN I. KIMMELMAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

David W. Reger

Deputy Attorney General

DWR:map

cc: Hon. Reginald Stanton Jerry Burke, Esq. Leif R. Sigmond 215 Comanche Drive Oceanport, N.J. 07757 201-229-9510



EXECUTIVE DEPT.

August 2, 1983

Honorable Reginald Stanton Superior Court of New Jersey 228 Hall of Records Newark, New Jersey 07102

Re: State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection v.

Scientific Chemical Processing, Inc. et al.

Docket Number: L-1852-83E

Dear Judge Stanton:

I received on Monday, August 1, a copy of a letter dated July 29, 1983 to Your Honor from M. Reger.

There was never a question that I would participate in the cleanups wherever possible and a lien has already been placed on the Sigmond and Presto properties at Carlstadt and Newark to be used toward the cleanups. However, as stated in my letter to Your Honor dated July 18, 1983, I cannot in good faith pretend to a cleanup contractor that I have additional funds to pay for their services when no such funds exist.

As a matter of fact my finantial situation has gradually become intolerable in that it appears that my interests in Sigmond and Presto will all be used up for the cleanups. This leaves me with a deficit of about \$60,000.-. Even worse is the fact that as stated to you in my letter of July 18, 1983 I have not been able to obtain a new position. This has now been made even more difficult in that from 1970 on some of Scientific Chemical Processing, Inc. wastes were deposited in some cases in various landfills and at the present time, based on todays standards, Scientific Chemical Processing, Inc. and myself personally are being sued either by U.S. government for Superfund reimbursement or by the individual States. In addition a lawfirm is suing me personally for services rendered to Scientific Chemical Processing, Inc. So at the present time I am involved in the following legal matters:

U.S. v. Herbert G. Case et al - Criminal No. 82-200
U.S. v. Price et al - Civil Action No. 80-4104
Giordano, alleran and Crahay v. Scientific Chemical Processing, Inc.
and Leif Sigmond individually
Monmouth Docket No. 60646-31

Since I am required to appear from time to time in all of these cases, it has been impossible to obtain a position or lead a regular life.

CC; David W. Reger/DAG
Harriet Sims Harvey, Esq.
Edward J. Egan, Esq.
Paul S. Barbire, Esq
Herbert G. Case

Very truly yours,

Leigh R. Sichand



State of New Jersen

IRWIN I. KIMMELMAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

THOMAS W. GREELISH FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF LAW AND PUBLIC SAFETY DIVISION OF LAW ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION SECTION RICHARD J. HUGHES JUSTICE COMPLEX

CN 112 TRENTON 08625

TELEPHONE 609-292-1548

JOHN M. VAN DALEN

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL ASSISTANT SECTION CHIEF

MICHAEL R. COLE

ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

LAWRENCE E. STANLEY

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

SECTION CHIEF

DIRECTOR

July 29, 1983

Edward J. Egan, Esq. 1073 E. Second Street Box 190 Scotch Plains, New Jersey 07076

> Re: State of New Jersey, DEP v. Scientific Chemical Processing, Inc., et al Docket No. L-1852-83E

Dear Mr. Egan:

Pursuant to the understanding reached at a recent meeting concerning cleanup of the Carlstadt site, you were to advise me by July 26, 1983 of the contractor which Inmar Associates intends to retain to have the Carlstadt site cleaned up. I received a phone call from you on the above date. However, I was not available. Thereafter, I returned your phone call on July 27 and July 28, 1983 but was unable to reach you. Kindly confirm if Inmar intends to use S & W as its cleanup contractor in connection with this matter.

Pursuant to our agreement, I expect to receive a final proposal for cleanup of the Carlstadt site incorporating the comments of Department personnel by August 2, 1983.

Very truly yours,

IRWIN I. KIMMELMAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

EXECUTIVE DEPT.

David W. Reger/

Deputy Attorney General

DWR:map